CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

 Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.

8	Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted direct where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the	ctly to	o the CalFresh Policy anal	yst assigned responsibility to the county
1,	RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO: Policy/Regulation Interpretation	5.	DATE OF REQUEST: 3/15/2013	NEED RESPONSE BY: 3/26/2013
	QC	6.	COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Shasta County	
	Fair Hearing	7.	SUBJECT:	
	Other:		Untimely Recertification	ons and Late Verifications/Forms-3
2.	REQUESTOR NAME: Jean Keyes	8.	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ NOTE: All requests must have	ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) ve a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).
3.	PHONE NO.: 530-225-5022		QR 377.2 (NEC Notice	9)
4.	REGULATION CITE(S): 63-504.6, 63-301, CFR 273.14			
9.	QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):			
	If a CalFresh Recertification (RC) is started prior to the end of household takes the required action after the end of the certification period, can the case be reopened and the RC co	ifica	tion period but within 30	t is not completed, however the days after the end of the
	See next page for examples.			
10.	REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:			
	Yes. If a CalFresh Recertification (RC) is started and not conhousehold takes the required action after the end of the certification period, the county will reopen the case and proving required action.	tifica	ition period but within 30	days after the end of the
	The county will then determine the cause of the delay and b completed if the delay is client-caused. Benefits will not be p established.	ene orora	fits will be prorated from ated if the delay was coι	the date the required action was unty-caused or good cause can be
1 1	STATE POLICY RESPONSE (CFPB USE ONLY):		***************************************	
	,			
	State agrees with proposed answer.			
	FOR CDS	SSI	JSE	
DAT	T OF ORIVER		RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ:	AA
OF 24	(7/12)			PAGE 1

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:	5. DATE OF REQUEST:	NEED RESPONSE BY:		
✓ Policy/Regulation Interpretation☐ QC☐ Fair Hearing☐ Other:	3/15/2013 6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Shasta County	6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION:		
2. REQUESTOR NAME: Jean Keyes	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	REFERENCES: (Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references) NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).		
3. PHONE NO.: 530-225-5022	QR 377.2 (NEC Notice	QR 377.2 (NEC Notice)		
4. REGULATION CITE(S): 63-504.6, 63-301, CFR 273.14				

Example #1:

Client's application for recertification (RC) was untimely due to county error as the first scheduled interview was not until 11/27. Client attended phone interview on 11/27. Client was given a 10-day request for verifications, Rights and Responsibilities, and the SAWS 1 application, which were due on 12/9. The County received the Rights and Responsibilities, QR 7, verifications, and SAWS 1 on 12/14.

Example #2:

Same example with an untimely RC but due to county error except the verifications were received after the 10-day deadline on 12/14, which changes the untimely RC from county-caused to client-caused error.